# Correlation of Variables for Program Success and First Time SEE Scores of the Doctor of Nurse Anesthesia Practice Program Student Registered Nurse Anesthetists at AdventHealth University

Brian Anderson, BSN, RN, SRNA and Derik Gotay, BSN, RN, SRNA

Department of Nurse Anesthesia Practice, AdventHealth University

DNAP791: Evidence-Based Practice: Appraisal and Synthesis II

Project Chair: Alescia DeVasher Bethea, PhD, CRNA, APRN

Project Mentor: Janice Lowden-Stokley, PhD, RN

Project Reviewer: Carolyn Fore PhD, RN

March 23, 2023

#### Abstract

Nurse anesthesia is considered one of the most rigorous fields in advanced practice nursing, and many graduate nurse anesthesia programs have limited availability. Due to the academic rigor of graduate nurse anesthesia programs, selection and admission requirements are established to assist program directors and faculty in selecting candidates with the highest probability of completing the program and passing the National Certification Examination (NCE). The Self-Evaluation Examination (SEE) is taken by student registered nurse anesthetists (SRNAs) to prepare for the NCE, and SEE scores strongly correlate to NCE scores. Evaluation of specific pre-admission variables may assist in identifying applicants most likely to succeed. Methods for this project included the Spearman's Rho Correlation test of de-identified retrospective data collected by the nurse anesthesia department at AdventHealth University (AHU) to identify statistically significant correlations between the independent and dependent variables, followed by a linear regression analysis of any statistically significant correlations. This study determined the correlations of pre-admission cumulative grade point average (cGPA), science grade point average (sGPA), total Graduate Record Examination (GRE) score, and Health Sciences Reasoning Test (HSRT) overall score with nurse anesthesia program grade point average (NAPGPA) at the end of the fourth trimester and with the SEE total score during the fifth trimester for students who matriculated to AHU's DNAP Program from 2018 to 2020. This study found the following four statistically significant correlations: cGPA with NAPGPA, cGPA with SEE total score, sGPA with SEE total score, and NAPGPA with SEE total score. This study made four recommendations to the program's leadership for pre-admission requirements and three recommendations regarding program progression.

# **Table of Contents**

Abstract2
Introduction
Significance and Background7
PICOT Evidence Review Questions
Search Strategies
GRADE Criteria10
Literature Review and Synthesis of Evidence
Grade Point Average12
Graduate Record Examination13
Health Sciences Reasoning Test14
Self-Evaluation Examination16
Conclusion
Applicability to Practice
Project Aims
Methods
Planning and Procedures/ Limitations

Timeline
Results
Descriptive Analysis23
Objective 1
Objective 2
Objective 3
Discussion/ Recommendations
Conclusion
Dissemination Plan
Budgets/ Grants
References

# List of Figures and Tables

Table 1 – cGPA with NAPGPA Results
Table 2 – cGPA with NAPGPA Linear Regression
Table 3 – cGPA with SEE Total Scores Results
Table 4 – cGPA with SEE Total Scores Linear Regression
Table 5 – sGPA with SEE Total Scores Results
Table 6 – sGPA with SEE Total Scores Linear Regression
Table 7 – NAPGPA with SEE Total Scores Results
Table 8 – SEE with NAPGPA Linear Regression
Appendix A – Matrix Tables42

# Correlation of Variables for Program Success and First Time SEE Scores of the Doctor of Nurse Anesthesia Practice Program Student Registered Nurse Anesthetist at AdventHealth University

Nurse anesthesia is a demanding field of advanced practice nursing, and it requires years of preparation to become a Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetist (CRNA) (Ortega et al., 2013). Candidates must meet specific admission requirements, which include past academic performance and scores on standardized exams. Although minimum standards are in place for nurse anesthesia applicants, many applicants meet or exceed minimum requirements yet don't succeed in the program or fail the National Certification Examination (NCE) (Caldwell, 2015; Lebeck, 2003; Ortega et al., 2013). Increased educational rigor associated with doctoral education reinforces the need for examination of current program admission variables to foster academic success (Burns, 2011; Caldwell, 2015; Ortega et al., 2013).

Certified registered nurse anesthetist programs have limited capacity, and each student position is valuable for the program and for the community it serves (Lebeck, 2003). As part of the accreditation process for CRNA programs, some basic admission requirements have been established to assist program directors and faculty in choosing qualified applicants (COA, 2021). However, entry into a CRNA program does not guarantee students' graduation or success on the NCE (Caldwell, 2015; Lebeck, 2003). Therefore, identifying pre-admission factors that are more likely to correlate with success in a CRNA program and on the NCE is critical for student success and program accreditation (Lebeck, 2003; Ortega et al., 2013; Patzer et al 2017).

AHU's application process includes: submission of an unencumbered professional registered nursing license or an advanced practice registered nursing license, a bachelor of science degree in nursing or other related science major (i.e. chemistry or biology), a minimum

pre-admission cumulative grade point average (cGPA) of 3.00, a satisfactory Graduate Record Examination (GRE) score within the last five years, completion of the Health Sciences Reasoning Test (HSRT), a minimum of one year of intensive care unit (ICU) experience excluding orientation, BLS/ACLS certifications, three recommendation letters, and a professional essay (AdventHealth University, 2022). The purpose of this project will be to determine if there is a correlation between the pre-admission cumulative GPA (cGPA), science grade point average (sGPA), total GRE score, or the HSRT overall score, and the nurse anesthesia program GPA (NAPGPA) at the end of the fourth trimester or the first SEE total score during the fifth trimester for SRNA cohorts admitted to AHU's Doctor of Nurse Anesthesia Practice (DNAP) Program from 2018 to 2020. The project findings may lead to a change in the University's admission criteria, to help select optimal candidates for completing the program and passing the NCE on the first attempt.

### Significance and Background of Clinical Problem

Nurse anesthesia practice is known to be one of the most demanding and rigorous fields in advanced practice nursing (Ortega et al., 2013). Candidates complete several years of preparation, and entry into a CRNA program does not guarantee a student's successful completion of an anesthesia program (Caldwell, 2015; Lebeck, 2003). Program directors are interested in pre-admission variables that may better correlate with performance during the program, on the SEE, and on the NCE (Caldwell, 2015; Lebeck, 2003; Ortega et al., 2013; Patzer et al 2017). Due to the limited number of nurse anesthesia program positions, applicants who fulfill the admission criteria still may not be selected for a position in a program (Burns, 2011). Therefore, determining the candidates who will most likely succeed in the program is important, yet it is challenging for program directors and nurse anesthesia faculty (Burns, 2011; Lebeck, 2003).

CRNAs have been providing anesthesia in the United States for more than 150 years. As advanced practice registered nurses, CRNAs are among the nation's most trusted health care providers (U.S News & World Report, 2021). CRNAs provide over 50 million anesthetics to patients each year in the United States and represent 80% of the anesthesia providers in rural counties (AANA, 2021). As of May 2020, there were 41,960 CRNAs employed in the United States (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2021). Florida has the highest number of CRNAs in the country, with a total of 3,660 practicing CRNAs (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2021). However, in 2007, the profession was facing a shortage within the United States of 1,282 CRNAs, and this gap was expected to persist until 2020 (Daugherty et al., 2010). Florida's current trends include a projected 20% increase in anesthesia services due to a population expected to increase by 3.3 million between 2012 and 2025 (Wunder et al., 2017).

There are several factors that may threaten the capacity of nurse anesthesia programs to meet the identified need for CRNAs. The Council on Accreditation of Nurse Anesthesia Educational Programs (COA) has mandated that all students admitted to a nurse anesthesia program, as of January 2022, will be required to obtain a doctoral degree upon graduation (COA, 2021; Malina et al., 2014). This conversion from a master's degree to a doctoral degree as a requirement for entry to practice is currently an unknown factor that some have predicted may decrease the number of applicants to CRNA programs (Wunder et al., 2017). Additionally, increasing the length of the nurse anesthesia program from a previous 28-month tenure to a minimum of 36 months, increases the overall financial burden for the SRNA, nurse anesthesia faculty, and the university (Burns, 2011; Malina et al., 2014). The average cost to an SRNA attending an anesthesia program is estimated at \$161,809 (Macintyre et al., 2014). This total does not include personal expenses or national standardized exam fees of \$250 for the SEE and \$995 for the NCE (NBCRNA, 2020). Potential applicants to CRNA programs who do not have financial independence may not be able to sustain the financial burden of over \$100,000 in debt, and this could be a potential barrier to becoming a nurse anesthetist, thus furthering the CRNA shortage (Malina et al., 2014). Despite the significant financial investment, SRNAs graduating from a CRNA program cannot be guaranteed certification or advanced practice licensure, as they must pass the NCE after program completion (Caldwell, 2015; Lebeck, 2003).

Due to the rigor of CRNA programs, pre-admission variables have been implemented to select the strongest candidates who have the best chance of success within the program. Although there are many recommendations on the best pre-admission variables for potential SRNAs, there is a lack of information on how early in the program these pre-admission variables correlate with student performance. Therefore, this project will examine the correlation of four selected pre-admission variables with nurse anesthesia program grade point average (NAPGPA) at the end of the fourth trimester and the first SEE total score during the fifth trimester as a measure of student success within AHU's DNAP Program for the cohorts admitted from 2018 to 2020.

#### **PICOT Evidence Review Questions**

Two questions, posed in PICOT format, have assisted in a systematic review of the literature. The first question is as follows: For student registered nurse anesthetists enrolled in master's and doctoral degree programs (P), do the pre-admission cumulative grade point average (cGPA), science grade point average (sGPA), total Graduate Record Examination (GRE) score, or Health Sciences Reasoning Test (HSRT) overall score (I) correlate with nurse anesthesia

program grade point average (NAPGPA) (O) or the first Self-Evaluation Examination (SEE) total score (O)? The second question addresses the innovation: For student registered nurse anesthetists who enrolled into the AdventHealth University Doctor of Nurse Anesthesia Practice Program in 2018-2020 (P), do the pre-admission cumulative grade point average (cGPA), science grade point average (sGPA), total Graduate Record Examination (GRE) score, or Health Sciences Reasoning Test (HSRT) overall score (I) correlate with the nurse anesthesia program grade point average (NAPGPA) (O) at the end of fourth trimester (T) or the first Self-Evaluation Examination (SEE) total score (O) during the fifth trimester (T)?

#### **Search Strategies**

The search strategy included PUBMED, Google Scholar, accrediting bodies, professional organizations, and government agencies. Key search terms included: *Health Sciences Reasoning Test (HSRT)* AND *Nurse Anesthesia program success* AND *National Certification Examination (NCE)* AND *Self-Evaluation Examination (SEE)* AND *Graduate Readiness Examination (GRE)* AND grade point Average (GPA) AND science Grade Point Average (sGPA) AND Graduate Nursing School AND Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetist AND predictor AND success AND admission requirement AND admission criteria. MESH terms included: admission criteria, success, admission, graduate, and predictor. Twenty-seven articles met inclusion criteria which included: last 20 years, relevance, abstract, and titles that indicated relation to the proposed PICO questions. Evidence was limited to healthcare professions and studies observing relevant admission criteria. Exclusion criteria included articles published before 1991.

#### **GRADE** Criteria

The literature was evaluated using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) criteria. For the supporting body of evidence, the GRADE level initially was a moderate three, due to the types of studies including correlational studies and retrospective studies. The literature was then graded down due to limitations, imprecision, and methodological flaws such as small sample size and studies limited to only single institutions, and inconsistencies with time of test administration. The final overall GRADE level was downgraded to a level two.

## Literature Review and Synthesis of Evidence

Due to the competitive nature of CRNA programs, pre-admission criteria have been implemented to identify the best candidates, including: A baccalaureate degree in nursing, an unencumbered license as a registered nurse, a minimum of one year of ICU experience, a GRE score of 300 or better (required by about 50 percent of schools), GPA at least 3.0, time shadowing a CRNA, and specialty certifications such as the Critical Care Registered Nurse certification (CCRN) (AANA, 2021; Miller, 2020). These requirements are set by CRNA programs to select candidates who are most likely to succeed in their respective programs, on the SEE, and ultimately on the NCE (NBCRNA, 2017).

Despite pre-admission criteria, determining the candidates who will most likely succeed remains a challenge for program directors and nurse anesthesia faculty (Burns et al., 2011). SRNAs who meet or exceed pre-admission criteria may withdraw from the anesthesia program or fail the NCE (Ortega et al., 2013). Identifying optimal pre-admission variables will aid program directors and nurse anesthesia faculty in selecting candidates who are likely to progress through the program and ultimately pass the NCE (Caldwell, 2015; Lebeck, 2003; Ortega et al., 2013; Patzer et al., 2017). If an anesthesia program has poor cohort NCE pass rates, its accreditation status can be negatively affected (COA, 2019). Additionally, NCE pass rates can impact the public's general perception about the school (Guiot et al., 2018).

This study examined the correlation of four pre-admission factors with two interim program progression variables. The four pre-admission factors were: cumulative GPA (cGPA), science GPA (sGPA), total Graduate Record Examination (GRE) score, and Health Sciences Reasoning Test (HSRT) overall score. This project studied the correlation of each of these four variables with the nurse anesthesia program's grade point average (NAPGPA) at the end of the fourth trimester and with the first Self-Evaluation Examination (SEE) total score during the fifth trimester. Additionally, the project examined the correlation between the nurse anesthesia program grade point average (NAPGPA) at the end of the fourth trimester and the first Self-Evaluation Examination (SEE) total score during the fifth trimester.

#### **Grade Point Average**

Although data suggests GPA demonstrates a strong correlation to program success, there are varying studies describing which GPA (sGPA, cumulative GPA, nursing GPA) is the best predictor of student success. Patzer et al. (2017) found that the most important predictors of graduate nursing school success were admission GPA, nursing GPA, and undergraduate science GPA. Ortega et al. (2013) concurred, finding undergraduate GPA, undergraduate science GPA, and the GRE total score to be significantly correlated (*p*<.001) with graduate academic success, noting that the undergraduate GPA showed the strongest correlation. Burns et al. (2011) underscored the Ortega et al. (2013) finding that higher admission GPA predicts a higher program GPA. Burns et al. (2011) also demonstrated a relationship between GPA, sGPA, and academic progression for SRNAs, finding students to be three times less likely to experience academic probation for every one-point increase in sGPA. Wilson et al. (2015) supports this relationship, finding that for every one-point increase in cumulative GPA, the chance of successfully completing a graduate nursing program increases up to 7.12 times, and students are

4.2 times less likely to experience academic probation. Suhayda et al. (2008) established baselines for relevant GPAs, finding an average cumulative GPA of 3.25 and an undergraduate nursing GPA of 3.00 predicted a 99% success rate for master's level nursing students. Additional studies found that a cumulative GPA of 3.36, sGPA of 3.30, and undergraduate nursing GPA of 3.36 correlated significantly to student success (Burns, 2011; Suhayda et al., 2008; Wilson et al., 2015).

In addition to predicting program success, the literature says that GPA is also correlated with passing the NCE (Zaglaniczny, 1992). Pre-admission grade point averages of 3.25 or higher showed greater probability of successfully passing the NCE, although no evidence directly supports whether undergraduate, cumulative, or science GPA correlates with higher NCE scores (Burns et al., 2013; Eaglin, 2017, Hulse, et al., 2007; Ortega et al., 2013). However, a seminal study by Zaglaniczny (1991) suggests SRNAs with an average anesthesia program cGPA of 3.64 or anesthesia program sGPA of 3.53 are associated with higher scores on the NCE compared to SRNAs with an average program cGPA of 3.37 or anesthesia program sGPA 3.14. The literature includes additional recommendations for future studies assessing correlation between pre-admission GPAs and NCE scores.

#### **Graduate Record Examination**

According to the Educational Testing Service (ETS), the Graduate Record Examination (GRE) was created to assess test takers' readiness for graduate school (ETS, 2021). Several studies have suggested use of the GRE as a predictor of success in graduate programs; however, some of these studies have not included SRNAs (Utzman et al., 2007; Young., 2005). Further, these studies offer inconclusive results regarding whether there is a significant correlation between GRE scores for admission to non-anesthesia graduate nursing programs and graduate

GPA (Ortega et al., 2013). For instance, in two studies of academic success in graduate school, the GRE only predicted a 5% to 8% variance in graduate GPA (Katz et al., 2009; Ortega et al., 2013; Patzer et al, 2017). Research also suggests that the GRE may have less predictive power than undergraduate GPA. A large study of graduate nursing students including SRNAs found the GRE to add little predictive value for applicants with an undergraduate GPA of 3.0 or higher and a nursing GPA of 3.0 or higher (Ortega et al., 2013). Additionally, a study by Oakland University in Rochester, Michigan suggested that GRE scores were unnecessary for admission when undergraduate GPA was 3.28 or greater (Ortega et al., 2013). Katz et al (2009), supports this statement, claiming GRE scores provide a barrier to nursing school admission while offering little predictive value of success. Studies have also demonstrated a weak correlation between the GRE and NCE pass rates (Zaglaniczny, 1991; Lebeck, 2003).

Despite evidence that seems to rule out the GRE as a predictive admission criterion, there is research offering contrasting opinion. For instance, Burns et al. (2011) found a positive relationship between total GRE score and current GPA for SRNAs. Utzman et al, (2007) and Young (2005) found GPA and GRE scores to be a significant admission variable for prediction of academic success. However, the study completed by Young (2005) was associated with doctoral students in educational leadership, and Utzman (2007) reflected physical therapy students; neither study was associated with SRNAs.

#### **Health Sciences Reasoning Test**

The Health Sciences Reasoning Test (HSRT) measures reasoning and decision-making processes and is calibrated to undergraduate and graduate health sciences educational programs (Insight, 2021). The HSRT was designed for measuring baseline critical-thinking skills based on questions written in health-related and professional practice contexts (Insight, 2021). The HSRT

is composed of 33 multiple-choice questions administered in 50 minutes and is tailored to healthcare situations that require no prerequisite knowledge of healthcare (Cox et al., 2013; Insight, 2021; Kelsch et al., 2014). The lack of this knowledge has not been shown to affect the outcome of the HSRT (Cox et al., 2013; Kelsch et al., 2014). The HSRT includes assessment in multiple categories: analysis, interpretation, evaluation, explanation, inference, deduction, induction, numeracy, and an overall reasoning skill score (Insight, 2021). An overall HSRT score of 15 to 20 is indicative of moderate critical-thinking abilities, 21 to 25 is indicative of strong critical-thinking abilities, and  $\geq$  26 is indicative of superior critical-thinking abilities (Cox et al., 2013; Huhn et al., 2011; Insight, 2021).

While the company that produces the HSRT indicates that scores on this test may predict successful professional licensure and improved clinical performance (Insight, 2021), evidence of this predictive capacity is focused on non-anesthesia graduate education. As mentioned by Kelsch and Friesner (2014), performance on the HSRT was highly correlated with applicants' Pharmacology College Admission Test (PCAT) cumulative percentile scores. Cox et al. (2013) found that scores on the reading comprehension, verbal, and quantitative sections of the PCAT were significantly associated with HSRT scores. However, there was no association between the HSRT and other sections of the PCAT or other standard cognitive assessment tools (Cox et al., 2013). In another study related to pharmacology education, Basak et al. (2008) found that pre-admissions tools such as the HSRT can provide evidence of a student's clinical reasoning skills and may provide insight on a student's reflective process for developing clinical reasoning. Huhn et al. (2011), found that the HSRT was able to discriminate novice physical therapists with less than 1 year experience versus expert physical therapists with greater than 5 years of experience,

resulting in the novice physical therapist scoring in the 44th percentile and the experts scoring in the 62nd percentile, demonstrating the HSRT's testing validity.

Although the HSRT has shown evidence of assessing clinical reasoning and critical thinking skills, the HSRT was found not to be a valid predictor of graduate occupational therapy (OT) student success (Cavaliere et al., 2020). Cavaliere et al. (2020) conducted a study of 209 OT students, measuring student success by students' successful completion of the program, and concluded that the HSRT was not predictive of student success (rho (209) = .246, p<.001). However, due to the lack of moderate-to-strong correlations between HSRT scores and academic performance and the absence of literature in the context of nurse anesthesia education, the usefulness of the HSRT as an admission instrument may be limited, suggesting further research in identifying student success using the HSRT (Cox et al., 2013; Cox et al., 2014).

#### **Self-Evaluation Examination**

The SEE provides information to students about their progress in the nurse anesthesia program and prepares students for the NCE experience (NBCRNA, 2019). The SEE also provides information to program directors about how well their program is preparing their students with the necessary knowledge to practice nurse anesthesia (NBCRNA, 2017). Therefore, awareness of factors that correlate with SRNAs' performance on the SEE is important for program directors and the NBCRNA (Lebeck, 2003; Muckle et al., 2012; Zaglaniczny, 1992). The first SEE was a 250 item, paper-and-pencil examination and was first administered on June 21, 1991, at the American College Testing (ACT) organization (Muckle et al., 2012). Currently, the SEE is composed of 240 questions during a four-hour testing period. On September 1, 2016, a new version of the SEE was initiated to better predict SRNAs' performance on the NCE (SEE, 2020). The updated SEE demonstrated an increase from 0.83 to 0.93 for overall score reliability, and additional subscores reflected a reliability index greater than 0.75 (NBCRNA, 2020).

A study conducted in 2017 by the NBCRNA observed a group of 2,310 SEE scores plotted against NCE first-time pass rates and demonstrated a strong predictability with Pearson correlation r=0.58 (NBCRNA, 2017). In May of 2018, the SEE underwent changes yet again to reflect the revisions to the NCE content outline (NBCRNA, 2020). More recently, the NBCRNA again found a strong correlation between SEE total scores and performance on the first NCE attempt (NBCRNA, 2020). NBCRNA found this correlation was strongest for second-year and third-year students who take the SEE at least three months prior to taking the NCE (NBCRNA, 2020). The 2020 SEE evaluation report of 3,061 SEE scores conducted by the NBCRNA demonstrated a strong positive correlation between SEE scores and performance on the first NCE attempt with a correlation coefficient of r=0.53 (NBCRNA, 2020).

In the preliminary evaluation of the reconfigured SEE (2017), the average SEE total score of 427.0 [standard deviation (SD): 40.7] correlated with a passing performance for the first-time NCE; a 376.1 (SD: 35.2) correlated with a failing performance for the first-time NCE (NBCRNA, 2017). In the 2020 SEE evaluation report, a SEE total score of 437.5 correlated with a passing performance for the first-time NCE (SD: 34.3) in 2019, and a SEE total score of 443.1 (SD: 35.4) correlated with a passing performance for the first-time NCE (SD: 34.3) in 2019, and a SEE total score of 443.1 (SD: 35.4) correlated with a passing performance for the first-time NCE in 2020 (NBCRNA, 2020). The NBCRNA NCE and SEE Annual Report for Calendar Year 2021 identified the mean total score for Year-2 examinees (410.0, n=1,951, SD: 47.0) was higher than the mean total score for Year-1 examinees (394.2, n=144, SD: 39.0). The mean SEE score for the Year-3-and-above students was highest at 426.49 (n=3,728, SD: 40.8) (NBCRNA, 2021).

## Conclusion

While there are several articles discussing which pre-admission factors predict student success, sufficient evidence is lacking to support any one factor conclusively over another. This may suggest that student success is better predicted using multiple criteria not limited to only cumulative GPA, sGPA, GRE, or HSRT. The literature also varies regarding which GPA better predicted success. Additionally, there are opposing views of whether the GRE is a good predictor of nurse anesthesia program success. The literature discussing the HSRT suggests the need for additional research in identifying its predictive values for graduate program success. The data collected in this study may aid in identifying which variables better predict success in AHU's DNAP Program, on the SEE, and may ultimately relate to passing the NCE on the first attempt.

#### **Applicability to Practice**

Identifying appropriate admission tools to predict success in a CRNA program and, ultimately, passing the NCE is critical for student success and continued program accreditation (Caldwell, 2015; Lebeck, 2003; Ortega et al., 2013; Patzer et al., 2017). With a limited number of nurse anesthesia programs and student positions available nationally, determining the candidates who demonstrate the greatest potential for success can be challenging for program directors and nurse anesthesia faculty (Burns, 2011; Lebeck, 2003). The goal of this scholarly project was to determine any correlation between pre-admission cumulative GPA (cGPA), science GPA (sGPA), total GRE score, or the HSRT overall score and the nurse anesthesia program GPA (NAPGPA) at the end of the fourth trimester or the first SEE total score during the fifth trimester for SRNAs who matriculated at AHU from 2018 to 2020. Determining the correlation will help the university identify the optimal candidates who have the highest probability of program success and passing the NCE on the first attempt. Currently there is a shortage of CRNAs in the United States (Burns, 2011; Wunder et al., 2017). Due to this shortage, identifying the best candidates for success in nurse anesthesia programs and increasing the likelihood of passing the NCE will allow new CRNAs to enter the workforce more efficiently (Ortega et al., 2013).

#### **Project Aims**

The purpose of this study was to determine if pre-admission cumulative grade point average (cGPA), science grade point average (sGPA), total GRE score, or the HSRT overall score correlated with nurse anesthesia program GPA (NAPGPA) at the end of the fourth trimester or the first SEE total score during the fifth trimester. The pre-admission variables of SRNAs who enrolled into AHU's DNAP Program in 2018-2020 were compared to the corresponding NAPGPA and the first SEE total score.

The project objectives were as follows:

**Objective 1-** Determine the correlations of cGPA, sGPA, total GRE score, and the HSRT overall score with the NAPGPA of SRNAs who enrolled at AHU's DNAP Program in 2018-2020.

**Objective 2-** Determine the correlations of cGPA, sGPA, total GRE score, and the HSRT overall score with the first SEE total score of SRNAs who enrolled at AHU's DNAP Program in 2018-2020.

**Objective 3-** Determine the correlation between the nurse anesthesia program grade point average (NAPGPA) at the end of the fourth trimester and the first (SEE) total score during the fifth trimester of SRNAs who enrolled at AHU's DNAP Program in 2018-2020.

**Objective 4-** Make evidence-based recommendations for AHU faculty regarding the preadmission variables that may influence selection of optimal candidates to succeed within the program, on the first SEE, and thus later on the initial NCE attempts due to established strong correlations between SEE performance in the second and third years and the subsequent NCE performance.

#### Methods

This study utilized the Spearman's Rho Correlation Test. This scholarly project did not require informed consent since it was a retrospective review of de-identified data and did not involve human subjects. This study used a convenience sample of the following pre-admission criteria from the 2018-2020 enrollment cohorts of the AHU DNAP Program: cumulative GPA, science GPA, GRE total score, and HSRT overall score. These pre-admission factors were compared to the respective NAPGPA at the end of the fourth trimester and the first SEE total score during the fifth trimester. The data source was DNAP Program retrospective data about AHU cohorts that enrolled in 2018-2020. The data was collected from the program administrator's computerized student database with individual student records and scores, which were de-identified on an Excel spreadsheet and then provided by the program administrator. The de-identified data was statistically analyzed using the 4 4.2.0 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) by the AdventHealth Cares Team Statistician Dr. Tho Nguyen. A univariate analysis was used to determine mean and standard deviation for each variable. The Spearman's Rho's Correlation Test was performed to determine if there were any significant correlations. The Spearman's Rho Correlation (r) ranges from -1 to 1; the closer to 0 means a weak relationship. A strong positive relationship is considered if the p-value is <0.05. A linear regression analysis was conducted on the four statistically significant correlations identified using a 95% confidence interval. Data will be kept and stored for 7 years and then it will be destroyed.

#### **Planning and Procedures/Limitations**

Formal one-hour interviews occurred on the Microsoft Teams video conferencing platform in June 2021 with each key player to discuss the different perceptions, barriers, and limitations for this study. The key players included Dr. Alescia DeVasher Bethea, AHU DNAP Program Administrator, Dr. Janice Lowden-Stokley, AHU Professor of nursing and Coordinator of student performance and nursing research, and Dr. Carolyn Fore, AHU Professor of nursing. De-identified data was collected and provided by Dr. Alescia DeVasher Bethea, and statistical analysis was completed by a statistician. Barriers included time to gather the de-identified data and limited literature comparing HSRT total score and nurse anesthesia graduate program success. Dr. Roy Lukman retired starting at the end of the year 2021; therefore, there was a transition to a new AdventHealth Cares Team statistician.

Limitations included a small sample size of 80 DNAP Program SRNAs. To note, cGPA only included undergraduate GPA and did not include graduate level courses; however, most SRNAs did not have any pre-admission graduate coursework. Science GPA was unavailable for 26 SRNAs, so N=54 regarding that factor. Two SRNAs were exempt from taking the GRE because they already earned a graduate-level degree prior to DNAP Program application. These limitations may have impacted interpretation and significance of data including confidence intervals and *p*-values. Data was gathered from only one university, so the results are not generalizable to other universities. An additional limitation included in the data collection is the range of time when the SEE was taken; SRNAs had a two-month period to complete the exam, allowing slightly different preparation times between SRNAs. Furthermore, NAPGPA was calculated in combination with all DNAP and non-DNAP courses. A total of 18 DNAP courses, 2 science courses, and 1 non-DNAP course were included in the NAPGPA calculation. Ideally,

NAPGPA would only include DNAP courses and science courses which address topics that are included on the SEE and NCE, and would exclude courses such as Religion. The literature review provided confounding variables between the pre-admission factors and their significance in predicting student success. Additionally, literature specific to doctoral nurse anesthesia programs was limited due to the required transition to doctoral degree programs by 2022.

#### Timeline

A proposal for a topic was submitted to AHU DNAP Program faculty in May 2021. A problem and innovation were identified, and a PICOT-formatted question was developed. Relevant studies and scholarly articles were obtained between May 2021 and June 2021. After topic review and approval by AHU DNAP Program faculty, interviews were conducted among key players in June 2021. A proposed PowerPoint explaining the proposed methods was presented to Dr. Sarah Snell (assistant professor of nurse anesthesia) and Dr. Roy Lukman (AHU statistician) in June 2021. The scholarly project was submitted for Editorial Service Review in June 2021. Project chair review was submitted in July 2021. A PowerPoint was presented to faculty for project progression and feedback in early November 2021. Scientific Review Committee (SRC) approval was received in December 2021. Institutional Review Board (IRB) determination of "Not Research" was received in January 2022. Data collection was completed in May 2022 and data analysis was completed in June 2022. Poster presentation and application to ADCE and FANA were completed in August 2022.

#### Results

Data was collected from 80 (N= 80) AHU DNAP Program SRNAs from the graduating cohorts of 2021 through 2023. The Spearman's Rho Correlation Test was performed on cGPA, sGPA, total GRE score, and HSRT overall score to determine if there was a predictive

correlation between the NAPGPA and SEE total score. The Spearman's Rho Correlation ranges from -1 to 1; the closer to 0 means a weak relationship. Significance level was determined by Pvalue of 0.05. This study found four statistically significant correlations in the data that include: cGPA with NAPGPA, cGPA with SEE total score, sGPA with SEE total score, and NAPGPA with SEE total score. A linear regression analysis was conducted on each of the four statistically significant correlations identified using a 95% confidence interval.

## **Descriptive Analysis**

Data was collected about 80 (N=80) AHU DNAP Program SRNAs from the graduating cohorts of 2021 through 2023. No minimum sGPA was collected for the 2021 cohort; thus, total sGPA data included 54 (N=54) AHU DNAP Program SRNAs from cohorts 2022 and 2023. The data collected for the total GRE score included 78 (N=78) AHU DNAP Program SRNAs, as two SRNAs had already earned a graduate-level degree prior to the DNAP Program application process. A univariate analysis was used to identify the mean and standard deviation for each variable. The mean and median for the independent variables were identified: cGPA (3.42, 3.44), sGPA (3.23, 3.15), GRE (295.94, 297.50), & HSRT (80.29, 81.00). The mean and median for the dependent variables were identified: NAPGPA (3.74, 3.81) & SEE (420.39, 430.00). The AHU DNAP Program does not require a minimum sGPA in their current application process; however, the minimum cGPA required was a 3.00, inclusive of both undergraduate and graduate coursework. The AHU DNAP Program identified a competitive total GRE score as 300; the average GRE scores from the past five cohorts was 298, including cohorts in the prior master's program (AdventHealth University, 2021). In the NBCRNA 2021 NCE and SEE Annual Report, the mean total SEE score for year-two SRNAs was 410.0 (NBCRNA, 2021), while the AHU DNAP Program first-time SEE total score mean for year-two SRNAs was 420.39. An average

SEE total score of 443.1 (SD: 35.4) correlates with a passing performance on the first-time NCE attempt (NBCRNA, 2021). However, the AHU DNAP Program first-time SEE total score mean of 420.39 for year-two SRNAs was below the SEE total score that correlates with a passing performance on the first-time NCE attempt.

## **Objective 1**

The Spearman's Rho Correlation Test identified statistical significance between cGPA with NAPGPA. Data analysis identified a significant moderate positive relationship between cGPA with NAPGPA (r = 0.437, p<0.001). However, there was no statistical significance between sGPA, total GRE score, and HSRT overall score with NAPGPA, as evidenced by a fair positive relationship between sGPA with NAPGPA (r = 0.217, p=0.116), a weak positive relationship between GRE with NAPGPA (r = 0.013, p=0.912), and a weak positive relationship between HSRT with NAPGPA (r = 0.029, p=0.797) was found. A linear regression analysis was conducted between cGPA with NAPGPA, which identified a cGPA of 3.00 correlating with an NAPGPA of 3.65, a cGPA of 3.10 correlating with an NAPGPA of 3.76, and a cGPA of 3.20 correlating with an NAPGPA of 3.83.



# cGPA with NAPGPA Results (Table 1)

Pre-Admission Cumulative Undergrad GPA

# cGPA with NAPGPA Linear Regression (Table 2)

cGPA	NAPGPA	Lower CI	Upper CI
2.9	3.51	2.88	3.79
3.0	3.65	3.30	3.83
3.1	3.76	3.56	3.87
3.2	3.83	3.72	3.90
3.3	3.88	3.81	3.92
3.4	3.92	3.87	3.94
3.5	3.94	3.91	3.96
3.6	3.96	3.93	3.98
3.7	3.97	3.95	3.99
3.8	3.98	3.96	3.99
3.9	3.99	3.97	4.00
4.0	3.99	3.98	4.00

## **Objective 2**

The Spearman's Rho Correlation Test identified statistical significance between cGPA and sGPA with the SEE total score. Data analysis identified a significant moderate positive relationship between cGPA with SEE total score (r = 0.359, p=0.001) and a significant moderate positive relationship between sGPA with SEE total score (r = 0.329, p=0.015). However, there was no statistical significance between the total GRE score and HSRT overall score with the first SEE total score, as evidenced by a fair positive relationship between GRE score with SEE total score (r = 0.151, p=0.188) and a weak positive relationship between HSRT overall score with SEE total score (r = 0.093, p=0.414). Two separate linear regression analyses were conducted between cGPA with SEE total score and between sGPA with SEE total score. The cGPA with SEE total score linear regression identified a cGPA of 3.00 correlating with a SEE total score of 402, a cGPA of 3.10 correlating with a SEE total score of 408.3, and a cGPA of 3.20 correlating with a SEE total score of 414.4. The sGPA with SEE total score linear regression identified a sGPA of 3.00 correlating with a SEE total score of 406.8, a sGPA of 3.10 correlating with a SEE total score of 409.8, a sGPA of 3.20 correlating with a SEE total score of 412.8, and a sGPA of 3.30 correlating with SEE total score of 415.9.



# cGPA with SEE Total Scores Results (Table 3)

Pre-Admission Cumulative Undergrad GPA

# cGPA with SEE Total Scores Linear Regression (Table 4)

cGPA	SEE	Lower CI	Upper CI
2.9	395.4	369.9	417.5
3.0	402.0	381.2	420.3
3.1	408.3	391.7	423.3
3.2	414.4	401.2	426.5
3.3	420.2	409.4	430.3
3.4	425.9	416.3	434.8
3.5	431.3	421.7	440.2
3.6	436.4	425.9	446.2
3.7	441.4	429.3	452.5
3.8	446.2	432.1	458.8
3.9	450.8	434.7	464.9
4.0	455.2	437.1	470.7

# sGPA with SEE Total Scores Results (Table 5)



sGPA with SEE Total Scores Linear Regression (Table 6)

sGPA	SEE	Lower CI	Upper CI
2.9	403.8	389.7	417.8
3.0	406.8	393.9	419.6
3.1	409.8	397.8	421.8
3.2	412.8	401.3	424.4
3.3	415.9	404.2	427.5
3.4	418.9	406.6	431.1
3.5	421.9	408.6	435.1
3.6	424.9	410.3	439.5
3.7	427.9	411.7	444.2
3.8	431.0	413.0	449.0
3.9	434.0	414.1	453.9
4.0	437.0	415.1	459.0

## **Objective 3**

The Spearman's Rho Correlation Test identified statistical significance between the NAPGPA with SEE total score. Data analysis identified a significant strong positive relationship between NAPGPA and SEE total scores (r = 0.76 and p < 0.001). A linear regression analysis was conducted between NAPGPA with SEE total score, which identified a mean NAPGPA of 3.5 correlated with a SEE total score of 395.5 and a mean NAPGPA of 3.6 correlated with a SEE total score of 407.7.



NAPGPA with SEE Total Scores Results (Table 7)

AHU DNAP GPA at the end of 4th Trimester

SEE v	vith 1	NAP	GPA	Linear	Regression	(Tal	ble	8)	)
-------	--------	-----	-----	--------	------------	------	-----	----	---

NAPGPA	SEE	Lower CI	Upper Cl
3.5	395.5	386.1	404.6
3.6	407.6	400.0	415.0
3.7	419.2	412.6	425.5
3.8	430.3	423.9	436.4
3.9	440.9	434.0	447.6
4.0	451.1	443.2	458.7

#### **Discussion/Recommendations**

This scholarly project aimed to determine if AHU's DNAP Program pre-admission cGPA, sGPA, total GRE score, or the HSRT overall score had a correlation with NAPGPA at the end of the fourth trimester or the first SEE total score during the fifth trimester. There were four statistically significant correlations in the data: cGPA with NAPGPA, cGPA with SEE total score, sGPA with SEE total score, and NAPGPA with SEE total score.

The moderate positive relationships between cGPA with NAPGPA, cGPA with SEE total score, and sGPA with SEE total score could support substantial weighting of the pre-admission cGPA and sGPA in AHU's DNAP Program pre-admission process. According to NBCRNA's NCE and SEE Annual Report for Calendar Year 2021, the average SEE total score for secondyear SRNAs was 410.0. The most current data collected from the 2020 SEE Evaluation Report regarding the SEE total score that correlated with passing the NCE on the first attempt spanned from September 1, 2019 to December 31, 2020, and the minimum SEE total score correlating to passing the NCE on the first attempt was 443.1 (SD: 35.4) (NBCRNA, 2021). Using one standard deviation below the minimum SEE total score that correlated to a passing performance on the first-time NCE attempt, a SEE total score of 407.7 is used as a benchmark for academic recommendations. Data from this study's linear regression model showed a cGPA of 3.10 correlated with a NAPGPA of 3.76, and a NAPGPA of 3.76 correlated with a SEE total score of 419.2. Data from this study's linear regression model showed a pre-admission sGPA of 3.10 correlates with a SEE total score of 409.8. As a result of this study's findings and in combination with NBCRNA's strong positive correlations between the SEE and performance on the first-time NCE attempt, this study recommends that AHU should increase the minimum cGPA requirement from 3.00 to 3.10. Additionally, this study recommends adding sGPA into the pre-admission requirements, with a minimum sGPA of 3.10 for AHU DNAP Program applicants.

The relationship between the HSRT with NAPGPA and SEE total score are both weakly positive; as a result, the usefulness of the HSRT is unclear. The relationship between the total GRE score and NAPGPA showed a weak positive correlation, and the total GRE score with SEE total score showed a fair positive correlation. Thus, the HSRT does not seem strong enough to replace the GRE as a standardized testing method for academic predictors for SRNA success. However, the HSRT does not claim to be an academic predictor, but rather a measure of critical thinking and practical applications. This study recommends not to use the HSRT as a replacement for the GRE. Additionally, further study is recommended regarding the use of the HSRT for predicting program success. This study recommends that AHU faculty consider minimal weight for the total GRE score in the pre-admission determination, as no significant correlations were found with NAPGPA or SEE total score.

The strong positive correlation between NAPGPA and SEE total score could support the program's enforcement of high programmatic academic performance requirements. Data from the linear regression model showed a mean NAPGPA of 3.60 correlates with a SEE total score of 407.6. As a result of this study's findings and in combination with NBCRNA's strong positive correlation between second- and third-year SRNAs' SEE total scores and passing performance

on the first NCE attempt, this study recommends maintenance of a threshold NAPGPA of 3.60 instead of 3.00 for progression during the program. This study also recommends the AHU faculty implement an academic performance improvement plan if the NAPGPA falls below the 3.60 threshold during any of the first four trimesters of AHU's DNAP Program. Additionally, if AHU's DNAP Program-required second-year SEE total score of 410 cannot be achieved after unlimited attempts by the end of the sixth trimester, this study recommends dismissing the SRNA from the program to minimize the financial strain that comes with academic dismissal later in the program or failure to pass the NCE.

#### Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to determine if pre-admission cGPA, sGPA, total GRE score, or the HSRT overall score had a significant correlation with NAPGPA at the end of the fourth trimester or the first SEE total score during the fifth trimester. The pre-admission variables of SRNAs who enrolled in AHU's DNAP Program in 2018-2020 were compared to the corresponding NAPGPA and the first SEE total score. The objectives of this scholarly project were to determine if there were any correlations between the pre-admission independent variables (cGPA, sGPA, total GRE score, and HSRT overall score) with the dependent variables (NAPGPA and SEE total score). This study then assessed whether a correlation existed between NAPGPA and the first SEE total score. With these results, this study made evidence-based recommendations for AHU DNAP Program faculty regarding the pre-admission variables that may influence the selection of optimal candidates to succeed within the program, on the first SEE, and thus later during the initial NCE attempt. The data analysis yielded four statistically significant correlations: cGPA with NAPGPA, cGPA with SEE total score, sGPA with SEE total

score, and NAPGPA with SEE total score. In summary, this study made four recommendations for pre-admission requirements and three recommendations regarding program progression. Pre-admission recommendations:

- Increase the minimum cGPA requirement from 3.00 to 3.10.
- Add sGPA into the pre-admission requirements with a minimum sGPA of 3.10 for AHU DNAP Program applicants.
- Do not use the HSRT as a replacement for the GRE.
- Consider minimal weighting of the total GRE score in the admission rubric.

## Program progression recommendations:

- Increase the threshold NAPGPA to 3.60 instead of 3.00.
- Implement an academic performance improvement plan if NAPGPA falls below the 3.60 threshold during any of the first four trimesters of AHU's DNAP Program.
- Dismiss the SRNA from the program if AHU's required second-year SEE total score of 410 cannot be achieved after unlimited attempts by the end of the sixth trimester, to minimize the financial strain that comes with academic dismissal later in the program or failure to pass the NCE.

These recommendations may lead to changes in AHU's DNAP Program as pre-admission criteria to help select candidates who may have the highest probability of completing the program and passing the NCE on the first attempt and/or to changes in AHU's DNAP program's progression requirements.

## **Dissemination Plan**

Dissemination is planned for Fall 2022 to Spring 2023. A PowerPoint and poster presentation were created and presented to the key audiences for this scholarly project at AHU

on Thursday, March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2023, including the nurse anesthesia program administrator and assistant program administrator. A poster presentation was submitted for the Florida Association of Nurse Anesthetists (FANA) annual meeting but was not selected for presentation. Additionally, a poster presentation was submitted for the AANA Assembly of Didactic and Clinical Educators (ADCE) annual meeting but was not selected for presentation. Scholarly project dissemination for AHU DNAP faculty will take place on April 6, 2023. This scholarly project will be stored and made accessible for students and faculty in the AdventHealth University library archives.

## **Budget/ Grants**

There was a \$25 application fee associated with applying for poster presentation through the AANA Foundation for the 2023 ADCE. No research grants were requested for this scholarly project.

## References

AdventHealth University. (2021). Nurse Anesthesia, DNAP. Academic Catalog, AdventHealth University. Retrieved from

https://catalog.ahu.edu/preview\_program.php?catoid=44&poid=503.

- American Association of Nurse Anesthetist. (2021). *Certified registered nurse anesthetists' fact sheet*. AANA. Retrieved from <u>https://www.aana.com/membership/become-a-crna/crna-fact-sheet.</u>
- Basak, R. S., McCaffrey, D. J., & Wilson, M. C. (2008). The use of critical thinking assessments in the prediction of academic performance. *Am J Pharm Educ*, 72(3), 72.
- Burns, S. M. (2011). Predicting academic progression for student registered nurse anesthetists. AANA journal, 79(3), 193–201. Retrieved from https://www.aana.com/docs/defaultsource/aana-journal-web-documents-1/predicting\_academic\_0611\_p193-2018a7f37731dff6ddbb37cff0000940c19.pdf?sfvrsn=66805ab1\_6https://pubmed.ncbi.nl m.nih.gov/21751688/
- Caldwell, M. (2015). The relationship between success or failure in first semester nurse anesthesia courses and success or failure on the certification examination and attrition.
  [Doctoral dissertation, Case Western Reserve University]. OhioLINK Electronic Theses & Dissertations Center. Retrieved from

http://rave.ohiolink.edu/etdc/view?acc\_num=casednp1425658980

Council on Accreditation [COA]. (2021). *Standards for accreditation of nurse anesthesia educational programs*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.coacrna.org/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2021/03/Standards-for-Accreditation-of-Nurse-Anesthesia-Programs-</u> <u>Practice-Doctorate-revised-January-2021.pdf</u>

- Cox, W. C., & McLaughlin, J. E. (2014). Association of Health Sciences Reasoning Test scores with academic and experiential performance. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 78(4), 73-. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe78473
- Cox, W. C., Persky, A., & Blalock, S. J. (2013). Correlation of the Health Sciences Reasoning Test with student admission variables. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 77(6), 118-. Retrieved from <u>https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe776118</u>
- Daugherty, L., Benito, R. F., Kumar, K. B., & Michaud, P. C. (2010, July 07). *United States* faces a shortage of anesthesia providers. Rand Corporation. Retrieved from <u>https://www.rand.org/pubs/research\_briefs/RB9541.html</u>
- Eaglin, A. (2017). Predicting student success in nurse practitioner programs. Journal of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners, 29(10), 600-605. Retrieved from <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/2327-6924.12502</u>
- ETS (2021). *Skills measured*. Retrieved from https://www.ets.org/gre/institutions/about/general/skills/
- Guiot, H. M., & Franqui-Rivera, H. (2018). Predicting performance on the United States medical licensing examination step 1 and step 2 clinical knowledge using results from previous examinations. *Advances in Medical Education and Practice*, 943 -949. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.2147/amep.s180786
- Huhn, Karen, P.T., PhD., Black, Lisa, P.T., D.P.T., Jensen, Gail M, PT, PhD., F.A.P.T.A., & Deutsch, Judith E, P.T., PhD. (2011). Construct validity of the health science reasoning test. *Journal of Allied Health*, 40(4), 181-6. Retrieved from https://resource.ahu.edu/login?url=https://www-proquest-

com.resource.ahu.edu/scholarly-journals/construct-validity-health-science-reasoning-test/docview/917627899/se-2?accountid=35793

- Hulse, J., Chenowith, T., Lebedovych, L., Dickinson, P., Cavanaugh, B., & Garrett, N. (2007).
  Predictors of student success in the U.S. army graduate program in anesthesia nursing.
  American Association of Nurse Anesthetists Journal, 75(5), 339-46. Retrieved from
  https://resource.ahu.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&
  db=aph&AN=27149455&site=ehost-live&scope=site
- Insight Assessment. (2021). *Health Sciences Reasoning Test (HSRT)*. Retrieved from https://www.insight-assessment.com/Products/Products-Summary/Critical-Thinking-Skills-Tests/Health-Sciences-Reasoning-Test-HSRT
- Katz, J. R., Chow, C., Motzer, S. A., & Woods, S. L. (2009). The Graduate Record Examination: Help or hindrance in nursing graduate school admissions? *Journal of Professional Nursing*, 25(6), 369–372. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2009.04.002
- Kelsch, M. P., & Friesner, D. L. (2014). The Health Sciences Reasoning Test in the pharmacy admissions process. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 78(1), 9-. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe7819
- Lebeck, L. (2003). Correlation of student factors with performance on the National Certification Examination for nurse anesthetists (Publication No. 3095122) [Doctoral dissertation, Rush University]. Proquest Information and Learning Company. Retrieved from https://www.proquest.com/openview/62226d03a767a4f6b3fd2e3d48b325c9/1?pqorigsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y
- MacIntyre, P., Stevens, B., Collins, S., & Hewer, I. (2014). Cost of education and earning potential for non-physician anesthesia providers. *AANA Journal*, 82(1), 25-31-.Retrieved

from

https://resource.ahu.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true& db=ccm&AN=94324499&site=ehost-live&scope=site

- Malina, D. P. & Izlar, J. J. (2014). Education and practice barriers for certified registered nurse anesthetists. *The Online Journal of Issues in Nursing*, 19(2). Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.3912/OJIN.Vol19No02Man03
- Miller, C. (2020). *What is a nurse anesthetist?* Florida Association of Nurse Anesthetists. Retrieved from <u>https://www.fana.org/what-is-a-nurse-anesthetist-</u>
- Muckle, T., Moriber, N., & Randall, G. (2012). To SEE or not to SEE intended purposes vs. actual uses of the self-evaluation exam. National Board of Certification and Recertification for Nurse Anesthetists. Retrieved from <u>https://www.nbcrna.com/docs/default-source/exams-documents/exam-</u>

statistics/20121212-see-article.pd

- National Board of Certification and Recertification for Nurse Anesthetists [NBCRNA]. (2017). *Evaluation of the first-year administration of the reconfigured Self Evaluation Exam* (*SEE*). Retrieved from <u>https://www.nbcrna.com/docs/default-</u> <u>source/initialcertification/program-administration/evaluation new see posting dec2017-</u> <u>final.pdf</u>
- National Board of Certification and Recertification for Nurse Anesthetists [NBCRNA]. (2017). *Report on a preliminary evaluation of the reconfigured self-evaluation examination* (*SEE*). Retrieved from https://www.nbcrna.com/docs/default-source/initialcertification/programadministration/evaluation\_new\_see\_postingfnl.pdf?sfvrsn=4bcfec75\_4

National Board of Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists [NBCRNA]. (2020). *Self- Evaluation Examination (SEE) resources*. Retrieved from https://www.nbcrna.com/docs/defaultsource/initial-certification/program-administration/combined-final-see-evaluation-report-2018v2.pdf?sfvrsn=555ace53\_4

National Board of Certification and Recertification for Nurse Anesthetists [NBCRNA]. (2019). *SEE handbook*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.nbcrna.com/docs/default-</u> <u>source/publications-</u>

documentation/handbooks/20180105 final see handbook.pdf?sfvrsn=47c6363d 4

National Board of Certification and Recertification for Nurse Anesthetists [NBCRNA]. (2021).

NCE and SEE Annual Report Calendar Year 2021. Retrieved from:

https://www.nbcrna.com/docs/default-source/initial-certification/program-

administration/nce\_see\_annual-report\_cy2021\_final.pdf?sfvrsn=b6da189f\_6

- NBCRNA. (2021). SEE Evaluation Report Reporting Year 2020. Retrieved from: file:///C:/Users/derik/Desktop/combined-final-see-evaluation-report-2018v2.pdf
- Ortega, K. H., Burns, S. M., Hussey, L. C., Schmidt, J., & Austin, P. N. (2013). Predicting success in nurse anesthesia programs: An evidence-based review of admission criteria. *AANA journal*, 81(3), 183–189.Retrieved from https://resource.ahu.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true& db=ccm&AN=88102326&site=ehost-live&scope=site

Patzer, B., Lazzara, E. H., Keebler, J. R., Madi, M. H., Dwyer, P., Huckstadt, A. A., & Smith-Campbell, B. (2017). Predictors of nursing graduate school success. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 38(5), 272-274.Retrieved from

http://dx.doi.org.resource.ahu.edu/10.1097/01.NEP.00000000000172

- Suhayda, R., Hicks, F., & Fogg, L. (2008). A decision algorithm for admitting students to advanced practice programs in nursing. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, 24(5), 281-284.
  Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2007.10.002
- U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2021). *Occupational employment and wage statistics*. Retrieved from https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes291151.htm#nat.
- U.S. News & World Report. (2021). *Best health care jobs of 2021*.Retrieved from https://money.usnews.com/careers/best-jobs/rankings/best-healthcare-jobs.
- Utzman, R. R., Riddle, D. L., & Jewell, D. V. (2007). Use of demographic and quantitative admissions data to predict performance on the national physical therapy examination. *Physical Therapy*, 87(9), 1181-1193.Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20060222
- Wilson, J., Gibbons, S., & Wofford, K. (2015). Process improvement: Addressing attrition from the uniformed services university of the health sciences nurse anesthesia program. *AANA Journal*, 83(5), 351. Retrieved from

https://resource.ahu.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true& db=ccm&AN=110326451&site=ehost-live&scope=site

Wunder, L. L., Glymph, D., Schirle, L., & Valdes, J., (2017). Workforce initiative for current predictors of CRNA employment in the state of Florida. *AANA Journal*, 85(3), 217-221.Retrieved from

https://resource.ahu.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true& db=ccm&AN=123551107&site=ehost-live&scope=site

Young, I. P. (2005). Predictive Validity of Applicants' Reference Information for Admission to a Doctoral Program in Educational Leadership. *Educational Research Quarterly*, 29(1), 16-25. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ718117

Zaglaniczny, K. L. (1991). An analysis of the factors which predict performance on the national certification examination for nurse anesthetists (Doctoral dissertation, Wayne State University). Retrieved from

https://www.proquest.com/openview/dcc1cee90c3fd0d1348f1f2f47c77c9c/1?pqorigsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y

Zaglaniczny, K. L. (1992). Factors which predict performance on the National Certification Examination for Nurse Anesthetists. *AANA journal*, *60*(6), 533-540. Retrieved from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1292319/

# Appendix A – Matrix Tables

Cox, W. C., & McLaughlin, J. E.	(2014). Association of	f Health Sciences Rea	soning Test scores with a	academic and experiential perfor	mance. American journal of
pharmaceutical education, 78(4),	73. https://doi.org/10.5	5688/ajpe78473			
Cox, W. C., Persky, A., & Blaloc	k, S. J. (2013). Correla	ation of the Health Sci	iences Reasoning Test W	ith Student Admission Variables	. American Journal of
Pharmaceutical Education, 77(6),	118. https://doi.org/10	).5688/ajpe776118			
Purpose	Variables	Setting/Subjects	Measurement and	Results	Evidence Quality
			Instruments		
Study One: To assess the	Study One:	Study One:	Study One:	Study One: Significant	Study One:
association of scores on the	Primary outcomes:	HSRT was	Performance on	positive correlations were	Methodological flaws: sample
Health Sciences Reasoning Test	To determine the	administered to	HSRT and its	identified between HSRT	and time of test
(HSRT) with academic and	correlation between	329 of 459	subscales were	overall scores and course	Inconsistency: HSRT was
experiential performance in a	typical cognitive	(71.6%) P1	compared with	grades in 8 courses	administered to some early in the
Doctor of Pharmacy	admission criteria	students enrolled	academic	Study Two: 3 variables were	spring and later to some in the
curriculum.	and HSRT scores	at the UNC	performance in 29	significantly associated with	fall, critical thinking ability
Study two: To assess the	Study Two:	Eshelman School	courses throughout	HSRT scores: percentile rank	could have improved
association between scores on	Primary Outcomes:	of Pharmacy from	the curriculum and	on reading comprehension	Indirectness: none
the Health Sciences Reasoning	Assess the	fall 2007 to fall	with performance in	(p<0.001), verbal (p<0.001),	Imprecision: sample was
Test (HSRT) and pharmacy	association	2009.	advanced pharmacy	and quantitative (p<0.001)	limited to a single institution
student admission variables.	between HSRT	Study Two:	practice experiences	subsections of the PCAT	Publication bias: none
	performance and	HSRT was	(APPEs); Pearson		Study Two:
	cognitive student	administered to	Correlation was used		Methodological flaws: did not
	admission criteria	329 first-year	to determine		capture the entire cohort of
Design		PharmD students	relationship between	Implications	students within each year,
Study One: Evaluation Study;		between 2007 and	HSRT and all	Study One: Use of HSRT	administered during a course
Pearson correlation was used to		2009.	continuous variables.	may be limited by lack of	with variable attendance
determine the relationship			Study Two:	moderate to strong	<b>Inconsistency:</b> test was not
between HSRT scores and all			Correlations between	correlation between scores	administered during a consistent
continuous variables			HSRT scores and	and course performance in	point in the first year
Study Two: Evaluation Study;			cognitive date,	the first through third years	Indirectness: none
Bivariate Analysis between			previous degree, and	and with APPEs, other	Imprecision: multiple PCAT
HSRT scores and predictor			gender were	approaches should also be	scores, highest scores were used
variables using Pearson			examined; Bivariate	considered	for analysis
correlations for numerical			relationships were	Study Two: Scores on	Publication bias: none
predictors; Multivariate			examined using	reading comprehension,	
analyses predicting HSRT			Pearson correlation	verbal, and quantitative	
scores				sections of the PCAT were	
				significantly associated with	
				HSRT scores.	

Kelsch, M. P., & Friesner, D. L. (2014). The Health Sciences Reasoning Test in the Pharmacy Admissions Process. American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, 78(1), 9. <u>https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe7819</u>

Caldwell, M. (2015). The Relationship Between Success or Failure in First Semester Nurse Anesthesia Courses and Success or Failure on the Certification Examination and Attrition. (Electronic Thesis or Dissertation). Retrieved from https://etd.ohiolink.edu/

Purpose	Variables	Setting/Subjects	Measurement and	Results	Evidence Quality
			Instruments		
Study One: To evaluate the impact of the Health Science Reasoning Test (HSRT) in the Doctor of Pharmacy admissions process compared with other criteria used.Study Two: Student Registered Nurse anesthesia grades in any first semester related to student attrition or success on NCEDesignStudy One: Comparative study	Study One: Primary Outcome: Determine whether including a critical thinking tests adds unique information to the admission process. Study Two: Independent variables: Chemistry and Physics of Anesthesia, Anesthesia Basics I, and Pharmacological Strategies in Anesthesia Practice	Study One: HSRT was administered to all prepharmacy students selected for an interview: 122 students. Study Two: 266 student registered Nurse Anesthetist at Western Reserve University	Instruments Study One: Correlation between total HSRT scores and other measures used in the admission process were evaluated, students were ranked on score, and Spearman correlations were used to assess relationship between each of the ranking variables and admission criteria. Study Two: Chi- score analysis	Study One:The HSRT scores weresignificantly and highlycorrelated with applicantsPharmacy College AdmissionTest (PCAT) cumulativepercentile scores.Study Two: The two variablesstatistically significant(X2=39.902, p<.001). Twentystudents left the program(5.7%) 94.3% of the remainingstudents passed thecertification examination onthe first attempt.ImplicationsStudy One:HSRT can be an effective	Study One: Methodological flaws: Focused on criteria within candidates' ability to control rather than factors outside their control. Inconsistency: none Indirectness: Unable to divulge the colleges exact aggregation process used to establish candidate ranking. Imprecision: Data was collected from only 1 academic year's applicants from 1 pharmacy program, thus may not be generalized with other groups or institutions. Publication bias: none Study Two: Methodological flaws: Lacked
between HSRT and other evaluation criteria used in admission process <b>Study Two:</b> Descriptive and Retrospective study	Dependent: NCE success and attrition rate			method to evaluate critical- thinking ability as part of the admissions process into a PharmD program, however, its usefulness can be redundant with other evaluation criteria, such as the PCAT <b>Study Two:</b> Significant relationship showed between first semester coursework and first attempt NCE pass rate.	Inconsistency: Curriculum discrepancies limit multi- institutional information Indirectness: None Imprecision: None Publication bias: None

Burns S. M. (2011). Predicting academic progression for student registered nurse anesthetists. *AANA journal*, 79(3), 193–201. Ortega, K. H., Burns, S. M., Hussey, L. C., Schmidt, J., & Austin, P. N. (2013). Predicting success in nurse anesthesia programs: an evidence-based review of admission criteria. *AANA journal*, 81(3), 183–189.

Purpose	Variables	Setting/Subjects	Measurement	Results	Evidence Quality
	Saanah Stuataataa	Noushan and	and Instruments	Complexions	
	Search Strategies	Type of Studies		Conclusions	
		in the Review			
		Including			
		Sample Sizes			
Study One: Determine if a	Study One:	Study One: 914	Study One:	Study One: Relationship between admission	Study One:
relationship existed between	Primary Outcomes:	de-identified	Analysis of the	science GPA and current GPA, admission GPA	Methodological flaws:
admission criteria (GPA,	Determine if a relationship	student records,	data was	and current GPA, total GRE score and current	Inconsistency: variations in
science GPA, GRE scores, and	existed between admission	406 represented	performed using	GPA, significant inverse relationship between	nursing education,
critical care experience and	criteria and academic	male students	the Statistical	number of years of critical care experience and	coursework taken in a
academic progression (current	progression	and 508	Package for Social	current GPA.	variety of settings; variance
academic status and GPA)	Study Two:	represented	Sciences software;	Study Two: Undergraduate GPA and	in critical care experience
Study Two: Examined which	Data Bases: PubMed,	female students	Correlation	undergraduate science GPA or nursing GPA are	Indirectness: none
factors may help predict	Cumulative Index to	Study Two: 19	statistics were	best supported by evidence to predict success in	Imprecision: Current GPA
student success in CRNA	Nursing and Allied Health	lower level	used to test	the nurse anesthesia and graduate nursing	requested was represented at
programs & NCE	Literature (CINHAL), Trip,	evidence sources	hypotheses set at	programs. The GRE is supported by some	varying points in the NAP.
	ProQuest, SumSearch, and	we locatated in	the level of	investigators, but the most recent investigators	Publication bias: none
	Google Scholar	the literature	significance <.05	found it to be less predictive	Study Two: Mathedala signal flavor
	Search Terms: used alone	search. 8 sources			Methodological naws:
	and in combination, include	involved solely	Study Two Most		small sample sizes from
	success in nurse anestnesia	graduate NAPS,	of the avidence		studios
	programs, autition in	graduate nursing	was from		Inconsistency: Literature
	registered purse anesthetist	programs	descriptive		suggest need for additional
	success" "student registered	without SRNAs	studies Overall		studies
	nurse anesthetist attrition"	or did not	the evidence was		Indirectness: none
	"nurse anesthesia	indicate whether	of low quality and		Imprecision: opposing
	education", "success", and	the programs	usually contained		results with some studies
	"national certification	included	small sample sizes		Publication bias: none
Design	exam".	SRNAs, and 2	from retrospective	Implications	
Study One:	Limits: 1980 to 2011,	pertained to	observational	<b>Study One</b> : Statistically significant relationship	1
Quantitative correlational	English language, peer	graduate nursing	studies	exists between the admission selection criteria	
study	reviewed journals,	programs with		and academic progression, also GPA and	
Study Two: Literature Review	Reviewers: Evidence was	SRNAs		science GPA predicted academic progression.	
	evaluated and leveled			Study Two: No consensus on the admission	
	according to the system			factors predicting success. Further studies	
	proposed by Melnyk and			should include more detailed assessment of each	
	Fineout-Overholt			criterion required	