Direct Administration of Isopropyl Alcohol

Grant McGee BSN, RN, SRNA, Jovanny Lozano BSN, RN, SRNA and Stephen Cavalli BSN, RN, SRNA

Jill Mason EdD, DNAP, MSN, CRNA, APRN, COI, FAANA, Eric Williams CRNA, DNAP, Sebastian Farrell, PhD AdventHealth Doctor of Nurse Anesthesia Program

Problem

- There is no established standard for the minimum requirement of alcohol or phenol to cause neurolysis (Poddar et al., 2016).
- The gap in literature also does not define the effects of IPA on nerves in the epidural space. This lack of evidence creates significant questions for certified registered nurse anesthetists (CRNA).
- First, is there any residual IPA going through the epidural catheter following a bolus of medication that will reach the epidural space?
- Second, if it reaches the epidural space, is it enough to cause neurolysis? Careful attention must be given to aseptic precautions because it is equally important to consider the risks of nerve cell injury (Campbell et al., 2014).

Literature Review

- Current practice of scrubbing the hub of central venous and peripheral intravascular catheters is to use a 70% IPA prep pad or apply an IPA-impregnated protective cap which guards against external contamination for every line entry to reduce the risk of central lineassociated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs) (Boyce, 2018; CDC, 2016; TJC, 2013).
- For anesthesia, this same aseptic technique is
 controversial when applied to epidural catheter ports.
- The literature selectively references ethyl alcohol (absolute alcohol, dehydrated alcohol, or ethanol) as being a well-established agent used in purposefully induced neurolysis (Campbell et al., 2014; Koyyalagunta et al., 2016; Rangel Jaimes et al., 2022).
- Varying ranges of concentrations are used for neurolysis (Miller, 2013; Poddar et al., 2016).
- Determining if IPA is safe for use as a disinfectant on epidural catheters without causing neurolysis could reduce infection rates. Guidelines and policies can be created in light of new research.

Methods

- This scholarly project used a quantitative research design method
 The setting for the innovation was at the AHU
- Biochemistry Science Lab, located in Orlando, Florida, in addition to a third-party laboratory in Altamonte Springs, Florida.
- Three samples were created for each of the three different dry times. Those solutions were then tested under GC to detect any identifiable concentrations across the varying dry times.
- 40 ml vials were used to store the sample of 18 ohms water flushed through the epidural catheter after scrubbing the hub of the epidural catheter for 30 seconds and waiting at dry times of 0, 10, and 20 seconds.
- Ten ml of 18-ohm water was used in the syringe and flushed through the catheter. An additional 30ml of 18ohm water was used to fill the vile and eliminate any head space. In between each sample, the syringe and the epidural catheter were rinsed with water to remove any possible residual alcohol. The vials were then sealed and delivered to the third-party lab for testing.



More Results

For the sake of interpretation of the data, 1mcg/L=1 parts per billion (ppb). The results for the samples are as follows: DT0A,B,C 3530 mcg/L, DT10A,B,C 2850mcg/L, and DT20A,B,C 2190mcg/L

Data prediction Forecast

80

Discussion & Implications

- Although the detected amount of IPA was not applied to the rat astrocytes directly, the data collected is still significant because of the relationship between dry times, and the amount of alcohol, suggesting that waiting the full 53 seconds eliminates the risk of neurolysis for the patient.
- The impact of this newfound knowledge can assist providers in discerning safe methods for their own anesthesia practice. Should institutions adapt this new information, policies can now be created to address the cleansing of epidural catheter ports when disconnecting and administering medication.

Conclusions

- The initial goal of identifying the amount of alcohol that passes through the epidural catheter after scrubbing the hub was achieved and can be used to make the recommendation that it is safe after waiting at least 53 seconds between scrubbing with IPA and administration of medication.
- The alcohol was not tested on rat astrocytes, as time did not permit further investigation. If this scholarly project were to continue, the detected amounts of IPA would have been directly applied to rat astrocyte cells to study the effect and determine potential cell death.
- References listed in QR code

Acknowledgements

Dr. Sebastian Farrell, Dr. Anuel Santos, Dr. Eric Willi





Lab Sampling Results

Client Sample ID: 540 A.R.C Lab Sample ID: 2309037-0	91	Date Collect Collected	nd O	9/25/24	23 12/00 Farrell	6	M	Aria 10	AQUEOUS	Other
VOC BY CC/MS (8260D)										
Analyte Name (Analyte ID)	Results/Qual	Units 6		MOL	PQL	Method, Analyzed Da	-	Pr.	Betch	Notes
Improped Alcohol (5 7638)	324	244		6.29	. 54	\$2449 38/83/2313-	ei .	ALS	#313962	
Client Sample ID: SB0 A.B.C Lab Sample ID: 2309837-00	92	Date Collected	nd O Br: 5	9/25/23 ebastia	12:05 Farrell		Ma	fets ID	AQUEOUS	Other
VOC BY GC/MS (8260D)										
Analyte Name (Analyte ID)	Results/Qual	Units 3	ж	MOL.	PQL	Method, Analyzed Da		N	Betch	Notes
Inspraggel Alcolled (4.76.98)	294			629	34	ADMIN 34/68/2214		ALL	8172422	
Client Sample ID: 218485-er	13	Date Collect	nd O By S	9/25/23 ebastia	Tarrell		Ma	eria ID	AQUEOUS	Other
VOC BY GC/MS (8260D)										
Analyte Name (Analyte ID)	Results/Qual	Units d	×	MDL	PQL	Method Analysed Da	de	Pr	Batch	Notes
supregul Abubul (676,50)	2534	140	58	42.9	504	40400 10/05/2512	58	465	41724022	
Chent Sample ID. 210907-5	14	Date Collect Collected					Ma	neix 10	AQUEOUS	Other
VOC BY GC/MS (8260D)										
Analyte Name (Analyte ID)	Results/Qual	Units 0	ж	MOL	PQL	Method Analyzed Da	64	Pv	Batch	Notes
houpe appel Alberhad (4.74,942)	2404		64	42.9	600	\$2440 \$8/98/23121		A68	8272662	
Chent Samele ID. 213247-Se	5	Date Collected	nd O By: 5	9/25/21	12:20 Farrell		Ma	eris ID :	AQUEOUS	Other
VOC BY GC/MS (8260D)										
Analyte Name (Analyte ID)	Results/Qual	Units 0		MOL	PQL	Method Analyzed Da	na	By.	Batch	Notes
Impropsi Aballed (474,94)	2100	144	10	+2.4	500	KIND DR/MA/DESS	44	ALL	8172922	0.04
		···· De	tect	ion Su	mmar					
Claret Sample ID: \$40 A.R.C Lab Sample ID: 2309037-001		Data Collected: 09/25/2823 12:00 Collected By Schastian Farrell			Hattis ID AQUEOUS-Other					
Analyte Name (Analyte IO)	Hendts Qualifier	Units	04	- 140	POL	Date Analyzed	84	Batt	10	Method
Insproppi About (67630)	120	14/2		6.21	5.0	10/93/2213.44	ALE	8172	123	82440
Client Sample ID: 589 A.R.C. Lab Sample ID: 2309037-002		Data Collectud: 09/25/23 12:05 Collected Ry. Schastion Farrell				Matrix ID - AQUEOUS-Other				
Analute Name (Analute IO)	Results/Qualifier	Units			POL	Data Analyzed	84	Batch	0	Method
Incorregul Alcohol (67430)	100	ad/5		6.21	50	SAUNDER DA DE		8172		-
Client Sample ID: DTE A.R.C. Lab Sample ID: 2369037-003		Date Collected, 09/25/23 12:10 Collected By: Schestian Farrell				Matrix ID - AQUEOUS-Other				
Analyte Name (Analyte ID)	Results/Quelfer	Cinta	04	MD	POL	Date Analyzed	By.	Batter	0	Method
Isoprepy(Alashol (67630)	3530	-	- 10	62.5	5.00	14/16/22 12:34		8172	102	#2400
Cheve Sample ID: DT10 A.R.C Lab Sample ID: 2309027-004		Date Collected: 09/25/23 12:15 Matter El: AQUEODS Other Collected Ry Schaetine Farvell								
Analyte Name (Analyte ICI)	Results/Qualifier	Links	DF	MD	L POL	Date Analyzed		Babb	10	Method
			18	- 62.5		10/93/22 12 24		8173		62400
Incorrect Alcohol (676383			Ball California 09/25/23 12:20 Matrix ID: AQUEOUS-Other California 09/25/23 12:20 Matrix ID: AQUEOUS-Other California for Selandia Formal							
Insprepyl Aloskel (67638) Client Sample ID: 8728 A.R.C Lab Sample ID: 2309037-005	2454	Data Colli					Matoria 3	D / AQU	COUS-Other	
Class fample 10: BT29 AR.C	2456 Results/Dualifier	Data Colli		(chastion	Furrell	Date Analyzed	Resta 1	D / AQU		Matter